## **AGILE WORKFLOWS & GENERATIVE AI in A** New Era of Autonomous Optimization

Seyedali Mirjalili

Professor May 2025



#### CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Optimization problems
- 3. Optimization workflow
- 5. Increasing automation using GenAI
- 6. Our recent works and current gaps
- 7. Agile workflow and simplification
- 8. Take aways



# OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

#### MAIN COMPONENTS OF AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

Inputs

Output



#### FORMULATION OF AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

Inputs

Output



#### THE ROLE OF AN OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM



6

#### WHAT ARE WE ACTUALLY TRYING TO DO?



#### **INPUTS**

- Large number of inputs
- Variables with different ranges
- Dependency between the inputs
- Discrete variables
- Mix variables
- Noisy inputs
- ....



System

8





- Multiple/many objectives
- Conflicting objectives
- Dynamic objectives

. . .

• Difficult-to-Measure Objectives

#### **CONSTRAINTS**

- Highly constrained landscape
- Equality constraint
- Inequality constraint
- Priority (soft vs. hard)
- Non-linear constraints
- Dynamic constraints

•

. . .



10

#### A TAXONOMY



11



#### **OPTIMIZATION LIFECYCLE**



#### **OPTIMIZATION LIFECYCLE**



# INCREASING AUTOMATION

#### **CONVENTIONAL ALGORITHMS**

- 1. Most Gradient-based
- 2. Sensitive to learning rate
- 3. Local optima
- 4. Saddle points
- 5. Not very practical











#### **RECENT ALGORITHMS**



#### **EVOLUTIONARY COMPUTATION & SOFT COMPUTING**



18

#### **MODERN ALGORITHMS**

#### Advantages:

- 1. Avoid local solutions
- 2. Higher chance of finding the global optimum
- 3. Low dependency on the initial solution
- 4. Mostly do not need gradient

#### **Drawbacks:**

- 1. Slow convergence speed
- 2. Finding different answers in each run





#### WHERE WER ARE HEADING?



#### WHERE WER ARE HEADING?



#### **OPEN RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

- 1. How to automate algorithm development and improvement?
- 2. How to automate problem formulation?
- 3. How to reduce human involvement in both?





22



23

## HOW GENAI CAN BE USED?

- 1. GenAl-powered problem definition:
  - Gathers and structures qualitative data for precise requirement modeling.
  - Maximizes stakeholder input and validates requirements for optimization.
- 2. GenAI-powered problem formulation:
  - Creates accurate mathematical models from detailed requirements.
  - Refines models iteratively to match real-world conditions effectively.
- 3. GenAl-powered algorithm design and development:
  - Generates, analyzes, and refines code for algorithm development.
  - Enables rapid exploration of innovative meta-heuristic solutions.
- 4. GenAl-powered algorithm executor:
  - Optimizes hardware and algorithm settings for efficient execution.
  - Monitors and improves execution by addressing inefficiencies.
- 5. GenAl-powered solution evaluator:
  - Evaluates results, identifies patterns, and suggests improvements.
  - Accelerates iterative optimization with expert-level insights.





## HOW GENAI CAN BE IN ALGORITHM DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT?



Figure 14: Visualisation of LLM Roles in metaheuristic optimisation where Advisor, Refiner, Enhancer, and Innovator roles each contribute uniquely to improving algorithm performance and adaptability.







25

Problem Formulation Algorithm Development

#### **Sustainable Facility Site Location Selection**



Figure 6. All DCs available for Gold Coast City (source Google Maps)[32]

Choose a set of distribution-centre locations that minimises the total distance travelled (a proxy for overall transport cost) while satisfying geographic, capacity and service-level constraints.

#### Why it is challenging

- The search space grows exponentially with the number of candidate sites (NP-hard).
- Multiple, often conflicting criteria (cost, coverage, environmental impact, risk) must be balanced.
- Real-world data are noisy and dynamic (demand shifts, new constraints).



#### **Sustainable Facility Site Location Selection**



Figure 6. All DCs available for Gold Coast City (source Google Maps)[32]





MFO

PSO

wo

4,500

ALO

GA

Figure 25: Performance comparison of baseline and few-shot LLM-enhanced algorithms under Configuration B

Algorithm

GHO

ALO

GA

MFO

PSO

wo



Problem Formulation Algorithm Development



#### **Sustainable Facility Site Location Selection**



Figure 33: Comparative performance improvement across enhancement approaches (%)

#### We used a generic prompt engineering framework



Problem Formulation Algorithm Development





#### **EXAMPLE 3: A NEW PROMPTING FRAMEWORK**



Figure 2: Human and LLM Collaboration in Meta-Heuristic Optimization Across Five Levels: Selection Level, Tuning Level, Adapting Level, Integration Level, and Developing Level

#### **EXAMPLE 3: A NEW PROMPTING FRAMEWORK**

#### Table 2

RESOLUTION Hyper-Framework Aspects Across Levels

RESOLUTION	Aspects	Selection Level	Tuning Level	Adapting Level	Integration Level	Developing Level
R: Role	Explaining roles	*	*	*	*	*
E: Explanation	Explaining what we require	*	*	*	*	*
S: inStances	Defining the optimization problem instance	*	*	*	*	*
O: algOrithms	Introducing the algorithm(s)	*	*	*	*	*
L: variabLes	Determining variables to be tuned	-	*	*	*	*
U: modUles	Introducing the pool of modules	-	-	*	*	*
T: deTails	Determining details of the desired output code	*	*	*	*	*
I: architecture	Determining structure or architecture of the output code	*	*	*	*	*
O: scOre	Defining the scoring criteria	*	*	*	*	*
N: returN	Explaining what we require as the output, including algorithm(s), experimental confirmation, final code(s), etc.	*	*	*	*	*

#### **EXAMPLE 3: A NEW PROMPTING FRAMEWORK**

RESOLUTION Prompt (Adapting Existing Algorithms for Solving Traveling Salesman Problem)

Consider the following as an input prompt and do so carefully to the end. Roles phase (You act as a code developer. You act as an optimization expert.) Explanation phase (I am looking for an optimized binary GA code based on the provided code to use in my project.) InStances phase(To solve at TSP problem instance with the given distance matrix.) AlgOrithms phase (I would like you to precisely adjust the parameters of the code and the operators used in the code.) VariabLes phase (Consider tuning all parameters and variables.) ModUles phase (Onsider tuning all parameters and variables.) ModUles phase (Onsider all possible operators could be found in MH literature.) DeTails phase (I require a detailed Python code, with extensive comments to explain each part.) ArchItecture phase (Revising the structure of the code is also allowed.) ScOre phase (Revise the original code to enhance it based on a metric defined as the product of the answer error and the number of lines of the code.) Return phase (1 - Provide the revised codes for 10 times to solve the instance with the given distance matrix. 4- Which algorithm do you suggest based on the mentioned score average? 5- Provide a table of the number of lines and the tour distance mean and standard deviation for both codes codes comments.)







#### **EXAMPLE 4: A NEW SET OF PERFORMANCE METRICS**



## THE RISK OF OVER OPTIMIZATION?

#### EVERYTHING CAN BE OPTIMIZED, BUT SHOULD WE?



### WHAT IF WE OVER OPTIMIZE?

- Small errors can lead to big failures: Tiny mistakes in how we set up the problem or prompt the model can grow into serious issues later, like wrong or unsafe solutions.
- Chain reaction of errors: If we use LLMs in several steps of optimization, a small error early on can spread and affect the final outcome without being noticed.
- Too tuned to the simulator: If we train or test the optimiser only using a simulator, it might learn tricks that work there but fail in the real world, because it's just fitting to the simulator's rounding errors or unrealistic assumptions.



#### **AN EXAMPLE**



Propeller efficiency is the ratio of thrust power to shaft power; every extra percentage point is real fuel money( unless it comes with cavitation).

#### **AN EXAMPLE**







#### Effects of uncertainties in operating conditions on the objectives



Figure 2.8: Different categories of uncertainties and their effects on a system: Type A, Type B, and Type C

Figure 9.8: Pareto optimal solutions in case of (left)  $\delta = +1.5\%$  (right)  $\delta = -1.5\%$  perturbations in parameters. Original values are shown in blue, perturbed results in red.

#### Effects of uncertainties in operating conditions on the objectives



Perturbation may causse extra 40 liter of fuel consumption per day!!!

Figure 9.7: Pareto optimal solutions in case of (left)  $\delta_{RPM} = +1$ , (right)  $\delta_{RPM} = -1$  fluctuations in RPM (right). Original values are shown in blue, perturbed results in red.

#### Considering 1.5% noise according to ISO 484/2-1981



Figure 9.9: Robust front obtained by CRMOPSO versus global front obtained by MOPSO  $\,$ 

Table 9.1: Fuel consumption discrepancy in case of perturbation in all of the structural parameters for both PS obtained by MOPSO and RPS obtained by CRMOPSO

Algorithm	average	$\min$	max
MOPSO	0.1735	0.1676	0.1851
CRMOPSO	0.0825	0.0805	0.0863

#### **CURRENT GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**



**Figure 15:** Suggested future research areas for using LLMs in metaheuristics. Each "dimension" represents a different focus area, such as creating specific frameworks, setting ethical guidelines, using synthetic data, and promoting open-source tools. The diagram highlights different paths researchers can take to advance research in this area.

## WHAT TO DO TO MINIMIZE THE RISK?

#### **OPTIMIZATION LIFECYCLE/WORKDFLOW MUSK STYLE**



#### **ELON MUSK's AGILE WORKFLOW**

- **1.** Make the requirements less dumb: "your requirements are definitely dumb, it does not matter who gave them to you", so question the question.
- 2. Delete the part or process: "If you're not adding things back in at least 10% of the time, you're clearly not deleting enough", so regularly review and remove parts or processes that don't add significant value.
- **3.** Simplify or optimize the design: "Possibly the most common error of a smart engineer is to optimize a thing that should not exist", so focus on essential elements
- 4. Accelerate cycle time: "Every process can be speeded up. But only do this after you have followed the first three steps. In the Tesla factory, I mistakenly spent a lot of time accelerating processes that I later realized should have been deleted.", so focus on valuable progress instead of mere speed.
- **5. Automate:** *"That comes last. The big mistake in Nevada and at Fremont was that I began by trying to automate every step. We should have waited until all the requirements had been questioned, parts and processes deleted, and the bugs were shaken out.", so only automate after the first four steps.*









46

## TAKE AWAYS?

48

## **KEY TAKE AWAYS**

- 1. GenAl can automate the whole optimization lifeycle
- 2. Early evidences are convincing (e.g. large-language-model guidance has already delivered >20X gains in PSO with zero human tweaking, hinting at the power of GenAI-designed optimizers)
- 3. Open challenges remain: fully automating algorithm improvement and problem formulation while keeping humans only where their insight truly matters.
- 4. Question requirements, delete what doesn't add value, simplify first, speed up second, automate last.
- 5. Bottom line: anything can be optimized, but not everything should be. Beware the "too-perfect" solution. Over-optimization can make systems fragile and expensive when real-world noise or constraints shift.



# Love what you



https://seyedalimirjalili.com

ali.mirjalili@gmail.com